

CAUSES AND IMPACTS OF DESIGN DEFICIENCIES ON PROJECTS

Abdulrahim Alhazmi

SAUDI ARAMCO

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8289297>

Published Date: 28-August-2023

Abstract: Design deficiency is one of the most common causes of project failures, resulting in significant financial losses, delays, and safety hazards. This paper aims to explore the causes and impact of design deficiencies on projects, and to provide recommendations for mitigating these risks.

The paper first defines design deficiencies and provides examples of their types and sources, such as inadequate or incomplete specifications, lack of stakeholder involvement, and poor communication between designers and other project stakeholders. The paper then discusses the impact of design deficiencies on project performance, including increased costs, schedule overruns, and rework, as well as safety and quality issues.

To mitigate the risks of design deficiencies, the paper recommends several best practices, such as involving stakeholders early in the design process, conducting thorough reviews and assessments of design documents, and using advanced design tools and technologies to improve communication and collaboration among project team members.

The paper also highlights the importance of project management practices in preventing and addressing design deficiencies, such as risk management, change management, and quality assurance. Finally, the paper concludes with a call to action for project stakeholders to prioritize design quality and invest in effective design processes and practices to ensure project success.

Keywords: Design deficiency, project failures, project failures, project team members.

1. INTRODUCTION

Did you know that only 50% of projects were considered successful and met the project's objective? According to 2021 and 2018 PMI's Pulse of Profession Reports. The remaining are considered either failures or completed with over budget, over time, or not meeting the desired objective of the project. This indicates that a significant number of resources are being wasted on unsuccessful projects. What's even scarier is that one of the primary reasons for project failure is related to design deficiencies, which can result in severe consequences such as cost overruns, schedule delays, potential safety hazards, and reputational damage. (1), (2)

What is a design deficiency? in a context of a project, design deficiency can be defined as errors or shortcomings in the design of a product, system, or solution that most probably leading to projects failure and preventing the delivery of expected outcomes.

Design deficiencies can significantly hinder a project's success and waste a considerable number of resources without achieving the desired outcomes. In fact, design deficiencies are a common problem that most projects face, regardless of their size and complexity. Therefore, it is crucial to identify the root causes of design deficiencies, understand their impacts, and explore mitigation strategies to ensure a project's safe completion.

In order to minimize the adverse effects of design deficiencies on projects, it is important to employ best practices in project management. During the design phase, the project team can define project goals, objectives, potential risks, and establish the project's scope and budget. This phase sets the foundation for the entire project and provides a roadmap for successfully achieving the desired outcomes.

In conclusion, this paper aims to investigate the primary causes of design deficiencies, highlighting their usual and frequent negative impacts, and offering recommendations and mitigation strategies based on best practices in the project management industry. By doing so, it is hoped that project success rates will increase, resulting in more efficient use of resources and a reduction in wasted investments.

2. CAUSES OF DESIGN DEFICIENCIES

Identifying the causes of design deficiencies is essential to prevent project failure. This paper focuses on identifying the causes of design deficiencies and providing examples. Recognizing the causes of design deficiencies is crucial to developing effective mitigation strategies and improving the overall design process. Below are the most causes of the design deficiencies this paper will be focusing on:

- Insufficient Design Reviews

In the world of design, it's critical to conduct regular reviews and incorporate feedback from stakeholders in order to catch potential issues and ensure a high-quality end product. Ignoring feedback or failing to conduct sufficient design reviews can result in unnoticed design flaws that can lead to significant problems down the line.

One example of the importance of regular design reviews can be found in the field of architecture. When designing a building, it's important to conduct regular reviews and incorporate feedback from stakeholders such as the building owner, occupants, and local authorities. By doing so, architects can catch potential issues such as inadequate lighting, poor ventilation, or safety concerns before construction begins. This can help to save time and money in the long run, as well as ensure that the building is safe and functional for its intended purpose.

On the other hand, if design reviews are insufficient or feedback from stakeholders is ignored, it can lead to unnoticed design flaws that can have serious consequences. For example, if an architect fails to incorporate feedback from local fire authorities, a building may be designed without sufficient fire exits or other safety features. This could result in a dangerous situation in the event of a fire, putting occupants at risk and potentially resulting in legal liability for the building owner.

To solve these types of issues, it's highly recommended to conduct regular design reviews involving relevant stakeholders and to incorporate feedback into the design process. This can help to catch potential issues early on and ensure that the final product meets the needs of all stakeholders. Additionally, it's important to have a process in place for addressing any feedback or concerns that are raised during the design review process, and to ensure that all stakeholders are kept informed of any changes or updates to the design.

In conclusion, regular design reviews and stakeholder feedback are critical components of any successful design process. By taking the time to conduct thorough reviews and incorporate feedback from all relevant stakeholders, designers can catch potential issues early on and ensure that the final product meets the needs of its intended users while also being safe and functional. (3)

- Lack of Communication and Collaboration

In project management, poor communication and collaboration among team members can lead to misunderstandings and design deficiencies. For instance, if a team member misunderstands a requirement or design specification, it can have a ripple effect on the rest of the project, leading to delays, rework, and decreased quality.

On the other hand, effective communication channels facilitate information exchange and help ensure that all team members are on the same page. This helps to prevent misunderstandings and ensures that design decisions are well-informed and aligned with the project goals. For example, project management software like Asana or Trello can provide a centralized platform for team members to communicate and collaborate on tasks, deadlines, and project milestones.

In summary, effective communication and collaboration are crucial for successful project management. By providing clear communication channels and encouraging collaboration among team members, project managers can help ensure that design decisions are well-informed and aligned with project goals, ultimately leading to better project outcomes. (5)

- Resource Constraints

Limited resources, such as time, budget, and skilled personnel, can pose a significant challenge to the quality of a design. When resources are scarce, it can be difficult to allocate the necessary time and personnel to thoroughly vet a design and ensure that it meets all project requirements.

One real-world example of limited resources compromising design quality can be found in the automotive industry. In recent years, many automakers have been under pressure to develop electric vehicles (EVs) to meet increasingly stringent emissions regulations. However, the development of EVs requires significant investment in new technologies and infrastructure, as well as skilled personnel to design and engineer the vehicles.

To meet these challenges, some automakers have had to cut corners and rush the design process, leading to compromises in design quality. For example, some EVs have experienced issues with battery range, charging times, and overall reliability, which can be attributed in part to limited resources and rushed design processes.

To avoid compromising design quality due to limited resources, it's important to allocate adequate time, budget, and personnel to the design process, especially during the early stages of a project. This can involve conducting thorough research, engaging with stakeholders, and involving all relevant team members in the design process. By doing so, project managers can help ensure that the final design meets all project requirements and delivers value to stakeholders, even in the face of limited resources.

- Inadequate or incomplete Requirements

In the field of project management, incomplete or poorly defined project requirements can lead to a variety of design deficiencies, which can ultimately impact the success of the project. Without a clear understanding of the project scope, objectives, and stakeholder expectations, it can be difficult to develop a strong design that meets all of the necessary criteria.

For example, let's consider a hypothetical project to develop a new software application for a company. If the project requirements are not clearly defined from the outset, the development team may struggle to determine what features and functionality the application should include. This could lead to a design that is incomplete or does not meet the needs of the stakeholders, which could ultimately result in a failed project.

On the other hand, if the project requirements are well-defined and the development team has a strong understanding of the project scope and stakeholder expectations, they can develop a design that meets all of the necessary criteria. This could lead to a successful project that meets the needs of the stakeholders and delivers value to the company.

To avoid design deficiencies related to incomplete or poorly defined project requirements, it's essential to take the time to clearly define the project scope, objectives, and stakeholder expectations at the outset of the project. This can involve conducting stakeholder interviews, developing a detailed project charter, and using tools such as use cases and user stories to flesh out the requirements in detail.

By investing the necessary time and resources in defining the project requirements, project managers can help ensure that their teams are able to develop designs that meet all of the necessary criteria and deliver value to the stakeholders. This can ultimately help to increase the chances of project success and reduce the risk of design deficiencies that could impact the project outcomes.

3. IMPACT OF DESIGN DEFICIENCIES

Knowing the impacts of design deficiencies on projects is essential once the causes have been identified. Understanding the consequences of design errors can help project teams prioritize and address the most critical issues and implement preventive measures. By knowing the impacts of design deficiencies, project teams will be able to manage the projects in order to prevent project failure, and deliver successful project outcomes.

Below are the impacts of the design deficiencies this paper will be focusing on as a result of the previously highlighted causes:

- Cost Overruns

Cost overruns due to design deficiencies can be a significant challenge for project managers, as they can put strain on budgets and jeopardize the overall viability of a project. When a design is flawed or incomplete, it can lead to additional costs for rework, materials, and labour expenses.

One example of cost overruns due to design deficiencies can be found in the aerospace industry. When designing an aircraft, even small design flaws can have significant consequences, both in terms of safety and cost. For example, if an aircraft is designed with a flawed wing design, it may require significant rework and additional materials to correct the issue, resulting in increased costs and delays.

Similarly, in the construction industry, design deficiencies can lead to significant cost overruns. For instance, if a building is designed with inadequate structural support, it may require significant rework and additional materials to bring it up to code, resulting in increased costs for labour and materials.

To avoid cost overruns due to design deficiencies, it's important to conduct thorough design reviews and ensure that all relevant stakeholders are involved in the process. This can help to catch potential issues early on and ensure that the final design meets all project requirements. Additionally, project managers should establish clear project timelines and budgets, and ensure that all team members are aware of the potential consequences of design deficiencies.

To summarize, cost overruns due to design deficiencies can be a serious challenge for project managers, as they can put strain on budgets and jeopardize the overall viability of a project. By taking the time to conduct thorough design reviews, involve all relevant stakeholders, and establish clear project timelines and budgets, project managers can help ensure that designs are thorough and complete, ultimately leading to better project outcomes and reduced costs. (4)

- **Schedule Delays**

Design deficiencies can have significant impacts on project timelines, as addressing these issues often results in schedule delays. These delays can have cascading effects on project milestones, ultimately extending the project duration and potentially delaying the delivery of the final product.

An example of schedule delays due to design deficiencies can be found in the manufacturing industry. When designing a new product, even small design flaws can have significant consequences for the production process. For example, if a product is designed with a flaw that affects the assembly process, it may require significant reworking of the design and tooling, leading to schedule delays and potentially missed project milestones.

Similarly, in the software development industry, design deficiencies can lead to significant schedule delays. If software is designed without a clear understanding of user needs or system requirements, it may need to be redesigned or reworked later in the development process, resulting in delays in the project timeline.

To avoid schedule delays due to design deficiencies, it's important to conduct thorough design reviews and involve all relevant stakeholders in the process. This can help to catch potential issues early on and ensure that the final design meets all project requirements. Additionally, project managers should work to establish clear project timelines and milestones, and ensure that all team members are aware of the potential consequences of design deficiencies.

In brief, schedule delays due to design deficiencies can have cascading effects on project milestones and the overall duration of a project. By taking the time to conduct thorough design reviews, involve all relevant stakeholders, and establish clear project timelines and milestones, project managers can help ensure that designs are thorough and complete, ultimately leading to better project outcomes and reduced schedule delays. (4), (6)

- **Project Efficiency**

Design deficiencies can have a significant impact on project efficiency. When a design is flawed or inefficient, it can lead to higher energy consumption, frequent maintenance, and reduced asset life, all of which can impact the overall efficiency of the project.

One real-world example of design deficiencies impacting project efficiency can be found in the renewable energy industry. When designing a solar energy system, for instance, even small design flaws can have significant impacts on efficiency. If the system is designed with inefficient panel placement or wiring, it may generate less energy than expected, requiring additional panels or other components to be added to the system. This can lead to higher energy consumption, increased maintenance costs, and reduced asset life, all of which can impact the overall efficiency of the project.

To avoid these types of issues, it's important to conduct thorough design reviews and ensure that all relevant stakeholders are involved in the design process. This can help to catch potential issues early on and ensure that the final design is as efficient as possible. Additionally, project managers should work to establish clear project goals and metrics for measuring efficiency, and ensure that all team members are aware of the importance of efficiency in the project's overall success.

In summary, design deficiencies can have a significant impact on project efficiency, leading to higher energy consumption, frequent maintenance, and reduced asset life. By taking the time to conduct thorough design reviews, involve all relevant stakeholders, and establish clear project goals and metrics for measuring efficiency, project managers can help ensure that the final design is as efficient as possible, ultimately leading to better project outcomes and reduced costs

- **Reputational Damage**

Design deficiencies can have far-reaching consequences beyond the immediate project, including damage to the reputations of stakeholders such as owners, operators, and contractors. When a project is plagued by design deficiencies, it can lead to negative publicity, lost business opportunities, and lasting damage to the reputations of those involved.

Reputational damage due to design deficiencies can be found in the automotive industry. When a design flaw or safety issue is discovered in a vehicle, it can lead to negative publicity and damage the reputation of the automaker. For example, in the early 2000s, several automakers faced significant reputational damage due to faulty airbags that were linked to numerous injuries and deaths.

To avoid reputational damage due to design deficiencies, it's important to conduct thorough design reviews and ensure that all relevant stakeholders are involved in the design process. This can help to catch potential issues early on and ensure that the final design meets all project requirements. Additionally, project managers should work to establish clear project goals and metrics for measuring success, and ensure that all team members are aware of the potential consequences of design deficiencies.

design deficiencies can harm the reputations of stakeholders, including owners, operators, and contractors, leading to negative publicity, lost business opportunities, and lasting damage to reputations. By taking the time to conduct thorough design reviews, involve all relevant stakeholders, and establish clear project goals and metrics for measuring success, project managers can help ensure that designs are thorough and complete, ultimately leading to better project outcomes and reduced reputational damage

- **Safety Risks**

Design deficiencies can pose serious safety risks to a project, potentially leading to accidents, injuries, or environmental hazards. In extreme cases, these risks can have catastrophic consequences, posing a significant threat to the safety of workers, the public, and the environment.

Safety risks due to design deficiencies is clearly found in the oil and gas industry. When designing offshore drilling platforms, even small design flaws can have significant safety implications. If a platform is designed with inadequate safety features, it may be at risk of collapse or other catastrophic failures, potentially leading to environmental hazards and loss of life.

Conducting thorough design reviews and ensure that all relevant stakeholders are involved in the design process is one of the proven practices that can help to catch potential safety issues early on and ensure that the final design meets all safety requirements. Additionally, project managers should work to establish clear safety protocols and ensure that all team members are aware of the potential consequences of safety risks.

Design deficiencies can pose serious safety risks to a project, potentially leading to accidents, injuries, or environmental hazards. By taking the time to conduct thorough design reviews, involve all relevant stakeholders, and establish clear safety protocols, project managers can help ensure that designs are safe and secure, ultimately leading to better project outcomes and reduced safety risks. (4), (6)

4. MITIGATION STRATEGIES

Implementing effective mitigation strategies is essential to prevent the impacts of design deficiencies on projects and maximize the chances of project success. By identifying the causes of design deficiencies and knowing their impacts on projects, implementing the appropriate mitigation strategies is the most importance action during the project life cycle. Below are some of the effective mitigation strategies this paper is offering as a solution:

- **Comprehensive Requirements Gathering**

Comprehensive requirements gathering is a crucial mitigation strategy to prevent potential design deficiencies that may arise during the development of a project. It involves conducting thorough sessions with stakeholders to ensure a clear and

complete understanding of the project scope and objectives. This process enables the project team to identify all the necessary features and functionalities that must be included in the final product, which in turn, helps to minimize the risk of design flaws and errors that could lead to costly rework.

For example, consider a software development project for a new e-commerce platform. The requirements gathering process may involve conducting interviews, surveys, and workshops with various stakeholders, including business owners, customers, and developers, to gather their input and feedback on the project's objectives, features, and functionality. By collecting and analysing this information, the project team can create a comprehensive requirements document that outlines all the necessary project specifications, including the user interface, functionality, and performance requirements, which can serve as a blueprint for the development team. This document can also be used to validate the final product before it is released, ensuring it meets all the necessary requirements and delivers the expected value to the stakeholders. By investing time and effort in comprehensive requirements gathering, the project team can minimize the risk of design deficiencies and deliver a high-quality product that meets the expectations of all stakeholders.

- **Rigorous Design Reviews**

One effective mitigation strategy to prevent potential design deficiencies is to conduct rigorous design reviews throughout the project's development cycle. These reviews involve engaging relevant stakeholders to identify and address potential design issues early in the process, which allows for timely adjustments and corrections to be made before they become costly to resolve. Rigorous design reviews can help ensure that the final product meets the necessary requirements and quality standards, resulting in a successful project outcome.

For example, consider a construction project for a new office building. The design review process may include regular meetings with the construction team, architects, engineers, and other stakeholders to review the project's plans and specifications. During these meetings, the team can identify potential design issues, such as inadequate structural support or insufficient ventilation systems, and make necessary adjustments before construction begins. By conducting these reviews regularly, the team can ensure that the final product is safe, functional, and meets the necessary aesthetic and environmental standards.

In addition to identifying design issues, rigorous design reviews can also help to improve communication and collaboration among the project team and stakeholders. By involving all relevant parties in the review process, everyone can provide their input and feedback, leading to a more comprehensive and effective design. This collaborative approach can also help to build trust and confidence among stakeholders, as they can see that their concerns and ideas are being heard and addressed.

Implementing rigorous design reviews throughout the project's development cycle is a critical mitigation strategy to prevent potential design deficiencies. Regular engagement with relevant stakeholders can help to identify issues early in the process, improve the final product's quality, and foster collaboration and communication among the project team and stakeholders.

- **Effective Communication and Collaboration**

Effective communication and collaboration among team members is a key mitigation strategy to prevent potential design deficiencies that may arise during a project's development cycle. By fostering a collaborative project environment and establishing robust communication channels, team members can easily exchange information, share ideas, and work together towards a common goal, ensuring that the final product meets the necessary requirements and quality standards.

take a marketing campaign for a new product launch as an example. The project team may include members from various departments, including marketing, sales, and product development. By establishing effective communication channels, such as regular team meetings, project management software, and shared workspaces, the team can collaborate more efficiently and effectively. They can share their expertise, discuss ideas, and provide feedback to one another, leading to better decision-making and a more comprehensive marketing campaign.

Effective communication and collaboration can also help to identify potential design deficiencies early in the process. For instance, during a team meeting, a member may raise concerns about the product's packaging design, which could negatively impact the product's appeal to the target audience. By discussing this issue as a team, the group can identify potential solutions, such as a re-design or a different packaging material, which can prevent potential design deficiencies and ensure that the final product meets the necessary quality standards.

Moreover, effective communication and collaboration can also help to build trust among team members and stakeholders. When team members feel heard, valued, and respected, they are more likely to contribute their ideas and expertise to the project, leading to a more comprehensive and successful outcome. Regular communication and collaboration can also help to improve project outcomes by fostering a sense of shared responsibility and accountability among team members.

In conclusion, establishing effective communication and collaboration practices is a critical mitigation strategy to prevent potential design deficiencies. By fostering a collaborative project environment, team members can work together towards a common goal, identify potential design issues early in the process, and build trust and accountability among stakeholders

- Adequate Resource Allocation

Adequate resource allocation is a crucial mitigation strategy to prevent potential design deficiencies during a project's development cycle. By allocating sufficient resources, including time, budget, and skilled personnel, the project team can support a thorough design process, which can help ensure that the final product meets the necessary requirements and quality standards.

A software development project for a new mobile application is one of many examples we can consider. Adequate resource allocation may involve allocating enough time for the design team to conduct thorough research, develop prototypes, and test the application's functionality and usability. It may also involve providing a sufficient budget for software and hardware purchases, as well as hiring skilled personnel with the necessary expertise to develop high-quality, user-friendly software. By allocating adequate resources, the project team can support a comprehensive design process, which can help prevent potential design deficiencies and ensure the final product meets the necessary quality standards.

In addition to preventing design deficiencies, adequate resource allocation can also help improve project outcomes by enabling the project team to respond to unexpected challenges and changes in project scope. For instance, if the project team encounters an unforeseen technical issue during the design process, having adequate resources can enable them to address the issue promptly and effectively, preventing delays and additional costs.

Moreover, adequate resource allocation can also help to improve team morale and productivity. When team members have access to the necessary resources, they are more likely to feel supported, motivated, and empowered to do their best work, leading to a more successful project outcome.

In conclusion, allocating sufficient resources is a critical mitigation strategy to prevent potential design deficiencies. By providing the necessary time, budget, and skilled personnel, the project team can support a thorough design process, respond to unexpected challenges, and improve team morale and productivity. This approach can help ensure that the final product meets the necessary requirements and quality standards, leading to a successful project outcome.

- Continuous Training and Development

Continuous training and development is an effective mitigation strategy to prevent potential design deficiencies during a project's development cycle. By investing in training and development programs, the project team members can enhance their skills and expertise, which can help ensure that the final product meets the necessary requirements and quality standards.

For example, consider a product design project that involves a team of designers and engineers. Continuous training and development may involve providing the team members access to training programs, workshops, and conferences to improve their technical skills, knowledge of industry standards, and familiarity with new design tools and technologies. By investing in these training opportunities, the team members can stay up-to-date with the latest trends and best practices in the field, which can help prevent potential design deficiencies and ensure the final product meets the necessary quality standards.

Moreover, continuous training and development can also help to foster a culture of innovation and creativity within the project team. By encouraging team members to pursue continuous learning opportunities, the team can stay motivated, engaged, and committed to delivering high-quality work. This approach can also help to promote cross-functional collaboration and communication, as team members share their knowledge and expertise with one another.

In addition to preventing design deficiencies, continuous training and development can also help to improve project outcomes by enabling the project team to adapt to changing project requirements and emerging technologies. For instance, if the project scope changes or a new design tool becomes available, team members with up-to-date skills and knowledge can more easily adapt to these changes, ensuring the final product meets the necessary requirements and quality standards.

In conclusion, investing in continuous training and development is a critical mitigation strategy to prevent potential design deficiencies. By enhancing the skills and expertise of project team members, fostering a culture of innovation and creativity, and enabling team members to adapt to changing project requirements, the project team can ensure the final product meets the necessary quality standards and delivers value to stakeholders.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, design deficiencies have been found to be a major cause of project failure. The causes of design deficiencies can be attributed to various factors, including lack of experience, inadequate resources, poor communication, and inadequate planning. The consequences of design deficiencies can be significant, including project delays, cost overruns, safety hazards, and reduced quality.

One of the major impacts of design deficiencies is project delays. When design deficiencies are not identified and addressed in a timely manner, they can lead to delays in the project schedule. This can result in increased costs, lost revenue, and damage to the reputation of the project team. Additionally, design deficiencies can also lead to cost overruns. When design errors are identified late in the project, it can be expensive to correct them, leading to increased project costs.

Another impact of design deficiency is safety hazards. Poorly designed structures or systems can pose significant safety risks to workers and the public. This can result in injuries, fatalities, and legal liabilities for the project team.

To mitigate the risks associated with design deficiencies, project teams should adopt several strategies. One key strategy is to ensure that appropriate resources are allocated to the design phase of the project. This includes hiring experienced designers and engineers, providing adequate time for design review, and ensuring that design calculations and drawings are accurate and complete.

Another strategy is to promote effective communication between the project team members. This includes regular meetings between the design team and other project stakeholders, such as the construction team and the client. Clear communication can help to identify design deficiencies early in the project, reducing the likelihood of delays and cost overruns.

Finally, project teams should invest in quality assurance and quality control processes to ensure that design deficiencies are identified and addressed early in the project. This includes regular design reviews by independent experts, testing and validation of design assumptions, and the use of advanced design tools and software.

In summary, design deficiencies can have significant impacts on project success. By adopting effective mitigation strategies, project teams can reduce the risks associated with design deficiencies and improve the overall life cycle of the projects.

6. RECOMMENDATION

Effective project management practices are essential for preventing and addressing design deficiencies. Project managers play a critical role in ensuring that design requirements are clearly defined, communicated, and met throughout the project lifecycle. They can also take proactive measures to identify and mitigate design risks, such as conducting thorough design reviews and assessments, engaging stakeholders early in the design process, and using advanced design tools and technologies to improve collaboration and communication. In addition, project managers can implement effective change management processes to address design changes and prevent scope creep, and leverage quality assurance processes to ensure that design outputs meet the required standards and specifications. By prioritizing project management practices that address design deficiencies, organizations can reduce the risks of project failures, improve project performance, and enhance stakeholder confidence and satisfaction. Investing in project management training and resources can help project managers develop the skills and knowledge needed to effectively manage design-related risks and challenges, and help organizations achieve their project goals and objectives

7. A CALL TO ACTION

It is more important than ever for organizations to prioritize design quality and invest in effective design processes since project complexity and demands continue to increase. By doing so, they can avoid costly design deficiencies that can lead to project delays, budget overruns, and safety hazards. To ensure project success, organizations should make design quality a top priority from the outset of the project, and involve stakeholders in the design process to ensure that their needs and expectations are met. They should also invest in advanced design tools and technologies, and provide adequate resources and training to project teams to support effective design processes. Finally, organizations should establish clear design

standards, processes, and metrics, and continuously monitor and evaluate design performance to identify opportunities for improvement and address potential risks. By taking these steps, organizations can enhance their project outcomes, achieve greater stakeholder satisfaction, and position themselves for long-term success.

REFERENCES

- [1] 2018 PMI's Pulse of Profession Report "Success in Disruptive Times"
- [2] 2021 PMI's Pulse of Profession Report "Beyond Agility"
- [3] "Review and Assessment of the Causes of Design Deficiencies in Design Documents for Large Construction Projects" by Sadi Assaf and Mohammed Hassanain
- [4] "Design Review Improvement Recommendations" by Richard K. Covington
- [5] "Assessment of the Causes and Effects of Design Deficiencies for Large Construction Projects" by Shahab Shoar, Nicholas Chile and Shamsi Payan
- [6] "Assessment of the Consequences of Deficiencies in Design Documents in Saudi Arabia" by Abdullatif Abdullah, Sadi Assaf, and Mohammed Hassanain