

# Decomposability of Normal Projective Curvature Tensor in Finsler Spaces

Fahmi Yaseen Abdo Qasem

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education-Aden, University of Aden, Yemen Khormaksar, Aden, Yemen

**Abstract:** The decomposition of curvature tensor field was studied by K.Takano [4]. The decomposability of curvature tensor in Finsler manifold was studied by P.N, Pandey [2].

The purpose of the present paper is to decompose the normal projective curvature tensor  $N_{jkh}^i$  in recurrent Finsler space and study the properties of conformal decomposition tensor.

**Keywords:** Finsler space, normal projective curvature tensor, recurrent Finsler space.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider an  $n -$  dimensional Finsler space  $F_n$  in which the Normal projective curvature tensor defined by [1 ]

$$(1.1) \quad N_{jkh}^i = H_{jkh}^i - \frac{1}{n+1} y^i \delta_j H_{rkh}^r .$$

Contracting the indices  $i$  and  $h$  in equation (1.1) and using the fact that the tensor  $H_{rkh}^r$  is positively homogeneous of degree zero in  $y^i$  , we have

$$(1.2) \quad N_{rkh}^r = H_{rkh}^r .$$

Transvecting (1.1) by  $y^j$  and using  $H_{jkh}^i y^j = H_{kh}^i$  , we get

$$(1.3) \quad N_{jkh}^i y^j = H_{kh}^i .$$

The normal projective curvature tensor is skew-symmetric in its last two lower indices ,i.e.

$$(1.4) \quad N_{jkh}^i = -N_{jhk}^i .$$

The normal projective curvature tensor satisfies the identities

$$(1.5) \quad N_{jkh}^i + N_{khj}^i + N_{hjk}^i = 0 .$$

$$(1.6) \quad \lambda_n N_{jkh}^i + \lambda_k N_{jhn}^i + \lambda_h N_{jnk}^i = 0 .$$

Contracting the indices  $i$  and  $h$  in equation (1.1), we get

$$(1.7) \quad N_{jk} = H_{jk} - \frac{1}{n+1} y^i \delta_j H_{rki}^r .$$

Shalini Dikshit [1 ] defined a Finsler space in which the normal projective curvature tensor is recurrent, i.e.

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{B}_n N_{jkh}^i = \lambda_n N_{jkh}^i , N_{jkh}^i \neq 0 .$$

She also defined a Finsler space in which the normal projective curvature tensor is birecurrent ,i.e.

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_n N_{jkh}^i = a_{mn} N_{jkh}^i .$$

## 2. DECOMPOSITION OF NORMAL PROJECTIVE CURVATURE TENSOR IN FINSLER SPACE

Let us consider the normal projective tensor  $N_{jkh}^i$  in the form

$$(2.1) \quad N_{jkh}^i = X_j^i y_{kh} .$$

where  $X_j^i$  is non-zero tensor and  $y_{kh}$  is skew symmetric decomposition tensor .

The space equipped with such decomposition of normal projective curvature tensor in recurrent Finsler space and denote it by  $R - F_n$  .

Differentiating (2.1) covariantly with respect to  $x^n$  in the sense of Berwald, we get

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{B}_n N_{jkh}^i = \mathcal{B}_n X_j^i y_{kh} + X_j^i \mathcal{B}_n y_{kh} .$$

Using (1.8) in (2.2), we get

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda_n N_{jkh}^i = \mu_n X_j^i y_{kh} + X_j^i \mathcal{B}_n y_{kh} ,$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{B}_n X_j^i = \mu_n X_j^i .$$

From equation (2.1) and equation (2.3), we get

$$\lambda_n X_j^i y_{kh} = \mu_n X_j^i y_{kh} + X_j^i \mathcal{B}_n y_{kh} ,$$

or

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{B}_n y_{kh} = (\lambda_n - \mu_n) y_{kh} .$$

Let us assume that  $\lambda_n \neq \mu_n$  , the equation (2.5) may be written as

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{B}_n y_{kh} = v_n y_{kh} .$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad v_n = (\lambda_n - \mu_n) .$$

If the above equation (2.6) is true then (2.3) yield

$$\lambda_n N_{jkh}^i = \mu_n X_j^i y_{kh} + X_j^i v_n y_{kh} .$$

or

$$\lambda_n X_j^i y_{kh} = \mu_n X_j^i y_{kh} + X_j^i v_n y_{kh} .$$

or

$$(2.8) \quad \lambda_n y_{kh} = (\mu_n + v_n) y_{kh} .$$

Thus, we have

**Theorem 2.1.** In  $NPR - F_n$ , the necessary and sufficient condition for the decomposition tensor to be recurrent is that the recurrence vector  $\lambda_n$  is not equal to recurrence vector  $\mu_n$  .

Let us assume that the recurrence vector  $\lambda_n$  is equal to recurrence vector  $\mu_n$  such that

$$(2.9) \quad \lambda_n = \mu_n .$$

In view of (2.9) and (2.6) immediately reduces to

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{B}_n y_{kh} = 0 .$$

Using (2.10) in (2.2), we have

$$\mathcal{B}_n N_{jkh}^i = \mathcal{B}_n X_j^i y_{kh} .$$

or

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{B}_n N_{jkh}^i = \mu_n X_j^i y_{kh}.$$

Adding the expressions obtained by cyclic change of (2.11) with respect to the indices  $k, h$  and  $m$ , we have

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{B}_n N_{jkh}^i + \mathcal{B}_k N_{jhn}^i + \mathcal{B}_h N_{jnk}^i = X_j^i (\mu_n y_{kh} + \mu_k y_{hn} + \mu_h y_{nk}).$$

In view of (1.6), equation (2.12) reduces to

$$(2.13) \quad X_j^i (\mu_n y_{kh} + \mu_k y_{hn} + \mu_h y_{nk}) = 0.$$

Since  $X_j^i$  is non-zero tensor it implies

$$(\mu_n y_{kh} + \mu_k y_{hn} + \mu_h y_{nk}) = 0.$$

or

$$(2.14) \quad (\lambda_n y_{kh} + \lambda_k y_{hn} + \lambda_h y_{nk}) = 0.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** In  $NPR - F_n$ , under the decomposition (2.1), if the vector  $\lambda_n$  is equal to  $\mu_n$ , the decomposition tensor satisfies the identity (2.14).

Differentiating (2.11) covariantly with respect to  $x^m$  in the sense of Berwald and using (2.10), we get

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_n N_{jkh}^i = \mathcal{B}_m (\mu_n X_j^i) y_{kh} = [(\mathcal{B}_m \mu_n) X_j^i + \mu_n (\mathcal{B}_m X_j^i)] y_{kh}.$$

In view of (2.4) the above equation may be written as

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_n N_{jkh}^i = (\mathcal{B}_m \mu_n + \mu_n \mu_m) X_j^i y_{kh}.$$

Using (1.9) and (2.1), we get

$$(2.17) \quad a_{mn} X_j^i y_{kh} = (\mathcal{B}_m \mu_n + \mu_n \mu_m) X_j^i y_{kh}.$$

From (2.16) and (2.17), we have

$$(2.18) \quad a_{mn} = \mathcal{B}_m \mu_n + \mu_n \mu_m.$$

Thus, we conclude that

**Theorem 2.3.** In  $NPR - F_n$ , under the decomposition (2.1), if the recurrence vector  $\lambda_n$  is equal to recurrence vector  $\mu_n$ , for which recurrence vector field  $\mu_n$  satisfies the condition  $(\mathcal{B}_m \mu_n + \mu_n \mu_m) \neq 0$ .

Interchanging the indices  $m$  and  $n$  in (2.16) and subtracting the equation which obtained to (2.16), we have

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{B}_n \mathcal{B}_m N_{jkh}^i - \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_n N_{jkh}^i = (\mathcal{B}_n \mu_m - \mathcal{B}_m \mu_n) X_j^i y_{kh}.$$

Accordingly we state

**Corollary 2.1.** In  $NPR - F_n$ , under the decomposition (2.1), if the recurrence vector  $\lambda_n$  is equal to recurrence vector  $\mu_n$ , the normal projective curvature tensor satisfies the identity (2.19).

Differentiating (2.6) covariantly with respect to  $x^m$  in the sense of Berwald, we get

$$(2.20) \quad \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_n y_{kh} = (\mathcal{B}_m v_n) y_{kh} + v_n \mathcal{B}_m y_{kh} \\ = (\mathcal{B}_m \lambda_n - \mathcal{B}_m \mu_n) y_{kh} + (\lambda_n - \mu_n) \mathcal{B}_m y_{kh}.$$

In view of (2.6), the equation (2.20) may be written as

$$(2.21) \quad \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_n y_{kh} = (\mathcal{B}_m \lambda_n - \mathcal{B}_m \mu_n) y_{kh} + (\lambda_n - \mu_n) v_m y_{kh}.$$

or

$$(2.22) \quad \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_n y_{kh} = (\mathcal{B}_m \lambda_n - \mathcal{B}_m \mu_n) y_{kh} + (\lambda_n - \mu_n) (\lambda_m - \mu_m) y_{kh}.$$

or

$$(2.23) \quad \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_n y_{kh} = (\mathcal{B}_m \lambda_n - \mathcal{B}_m \mu_n + \lambda_n \lambda_m - \lambda_n \mu_m - \mu_n \lambda_m + \mu_n \mu_m) y_{kh} .$$

**Theorem 2.4.** In  $NPR - F_n$ , under the decomposition (2.1), the second order covariant derivative of decomposition tensor  $y_{kh}$  satisfies the relation(2.23).

In view of (2.9), equation (2.23) immediately reduces to

$$(2.24) \quad \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_n y_{kh} = 0 .$$

**Corollary 2.2.** In  $NPR - F_n$ , the second order covariant derivative of decomposition tensor  $y_{kh}$  vanish , if the vector  $\lambda_n$  is equal to  $\mu_n$  .

Differentiating (2.4) covariantly with respect to  $x^m$  in the sense of Berwald, we get

$$(2.25) \quad \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_n X_j^i = (\mathcal{B}_m \mu_n) X_j^i + \mu_n \mathcal{B}_m X_j^i .$$

Using equation (2.4) in equation (2.25), we get

$$(2.26) \quad \mathcal{B}_m \mathcal{B}_n X_j^i = (\mathcal{B}_m \mu_n) X_j^i + \mu_n \mu_m X_j^i = (\mathcal{B}_m \mu_n + \mu_n \mu_m) X_j^i .$$

**Theorem 2.5.** In  $NPR - F_n$ , under the decomposition (2.1), the second order covariant derivative of the tensor  $X_j^i$  satisfies the relation(2.26).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Dikshit, S.: Certain types of recurrences in Finsler spaces, D. phil. Thesis, University of Allahabad, India , 1992 .
- [2] Pandey, P.N.: On decomposability of curvature tensor of a Finsler manifold Acta Mathematica, Academia Scieniarum Hungaricae , Tomus 38(1-4) ,1981, 109-116.
- [3] Rund, H .: The differential geometry of Finsler space, Springerverlag, Berlin Gottingen- Heidelberg, (1959) ,2<sup>nd</sup> edit. (in Russian), Nauka,(Moscow),(1981) .
- [4] Takano, K: Affine motion in non Riemannian  $K^*$ -space I, and II(with M. Okumura),IV and V, Tensor, N.S.,11,137-143, 161-173, 174-181, 245-253, 270-278.
- [5] Thakur, M. Mishra.C.K and Lodhi, G.: Decomposability of projective curvature tensor in recurrent Finsler space( $WR - F_n$ ), International J. of computer Applications , V(100), No.19, 2014, 32-34.