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Abstract: Power system is subjected to sudden changes in load levels. Stability is an important concept which determines the stable operation of power system. In general rotor angle stability is taken as index, but the concept of transient stability, which is the function of operating condition and disturbances deals with the ability of the system to remain intact after being subjected to abnormal deviations. A system is said to be synchronously stable (i.e., retain synchronism) for a given fault if the system variables settle down to some steady-state values with time, after the fault is removed.

For the improvement of transient stability the general methods adopted are fast acting exciters, circuit breakers and reduction in system transfer reactance. The modern trend is to employ FACTS devices in the existing system for effective utilization of existing transmission resources. These FACTS devices contribute to power flow improvement besides they extend their services in transient stability improvement as well.

In this thesis, the studies had been carried out in order to improve the Transient Stability of WSCC 9 Bus System with Fixed Compensation on Various Lines and Optimal Location has been investigated using trajectory sensitivity analysis for better results.

In this thesis, in order to improve the Transient Stability margin further series FACTS device has been implemented. A fuzzy controlled Thyristor Controlled Series Compensation (TCSC) device has been used here and the results highlight the effectiveness of the application of a TCSC in improving the transient stability of a power system.
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I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Transient Stability Analysis:

Transient stability studies provide information related to the capability of a power system to remain in synchronism during major disturbances resulting from either the loss of generating or transmission facilities, sudden or sustained load changes, or momentary faults. Specifically, these studies provide the changes in the voltages, currents, powers, speeds, and torques of the machines of the power system, as well as the changes in system voltages and power flows, during and immediately following a disturbance. The degree of stability of a power system is an important factor in the planning of new facilities. In order to provide the reliability required by the dependence on continuous electric service, it is necessary that power systems be designed to be stable under any conceivable disturbance.

1.2 Methods for improving the transient stability limit of a power system:

- Increase of system voltages, use of AVR.
Use of high speed excitation systems.

Reduction in system reactance.

Use of high speed reclosing breakers.

The operating characteristics of synchronous and induction machines are described by sets of differential equations. The number of differential equations required for a machine depends on the details needed to represent accurately the machine performance.

A transient stability analysis is performed by combining a solution of the algebraic equations describing the network with a numerical solution of the differential equations. The solution of the network equations retains the identity of the system and thereby provides access to system voltages and currents during the transient period.

In order to perform Transient stability studies following concepts must be analyzed:

So many iterative algorithms for solving a set of non linear algebraic equations in the load flow studies, for example Gauss method, Gauss Seidal method, Newton Raphson method etc.

1.3 Gauss Seidal method:

It is an iterative algorithm for solving set non-linear algebraic equations. To start with, a solution vector is assumed, based on guidance from practical experience in a physical situation. One of the equations is then used to obtain the revised value of a particular variable by substituting in it the present values of the remaining variables.

It is almost impossible to say which one of the existing methods is the best. Choice of a particular method in any given situation is normally a compromise between the various criteria of goodness of the load flow methods.

1.4 Modelling of system:

1.4.1 Representation of Generator:

The synchronous machine is represented by a voltage source, in back of a transient reactance, that is constant in magnitude but changes in angular position. If the machine rotor speed is assumed constant at synchronous speed, a normal and accepted assumption for stability studies, then M is constant. If the rotational power losses of the machine due to such effects as wind age and friction are ignored, then the accelerating power equals the difference between the mechanical power and the electrical power. The classical model can be described by the following set of differential and algebraic equations:

Differential:

\[
\frac{d\delta}{dt} = \omega - 2\pi f dt
\]

\[
\frac{d^2\delta}{dt^2} = \frac{d\omega}{dt} = \pi \left( P_m - P_e \right) dt^2 dt H
\]

Algebraic:

\[
(P - P_e)
\]

1.4.2 Representation of Loads:

Power system loads, other than motors represented by equivalent circuits, can be treated in several ways during the transient period. The commonly used representations are either static impedance or admittance to ground, constant real and reactive power, or a combination of these representations. The parameters associated with static impedance and constant current representations are obtained from the scheduled busloads and the bus voltages calculated from a load flow solution for the power system prior to a disturbance. The initial value of the current for a constant current representation is obtained from
\[ I_p = \frac{P - jQ}{E_p} \]

The static admittance \( Y_{po} \) used to represent the load at bus P, can be obtained from

\[ Y_{po} = \frac{I_{po}}{E_p} \]

Where \( E_p \) is the calculated bus voltage, \( P_{lp} \) and \( Q_{lp} \) are the scheduled busloads. Diagonal elements of Admittance matrix \((Y - Bus)\) corresponding to the load bus are modified using the \( Y_{po} \).

### 1.5 Simulation of faults:

A fault at or near a bus is simulated by appropriately changing the self-admittance of the bus. For a three-phase fault, the fault impedance is zero and the faulted bus has the same potential as the ground. This involves placing infinite shunt admittance, so that the bus voltage is effectively zero. The fault is removed by restoring the shunt admittance to the appropriate value depending on the post fault system configuration.

#### 1.5.1 Simulation of fault in a power system studies:

A symmetrical fault is simulated in one of the lines at a time. The simulation is done in three phases:

1. The pre-fault system is run for a small time (say 1 second) till the system is initialized.

2. The fault is then applied at one end of the line. Simulation of the faulted condition continues till the line is disconnected from the buses at both the ends of the faulted line after a time \( t_{cl} \). The time gap between the tripping of breakers at the two ends is negligible compared to the clearing time. Hence the disconnection of the line at the two ends can be considered simultaneous.

3. Next is the post-fault system simulation where the faulted line is totally disconnected from the system. Simulation is carried out for a longer time (say 10-20 seconds) to observe the nature of the transients.

#### 1.5.2 Runge-Kutta method:

In the application of the Runge-Kutta fourth-order approximation, the changes in the internal voltage angles and machine speeds, again for the simplified machine representation, are determined from

\[ \Delta \delta_i (t + \Delta t) = \frac{1}{6} (k_{1i} + 2k_{2i} + 2k_{3i} + k_{4i}) \Delta \omega_i (t + \Delta t) \]

\[ \Delta \omega_i (t + \Delta t) = \frac{1}{6} (l_{1i} + 2l_{2i} + 2l_{3i} + l_{4i}) \]

\( i = 1, 2, \ldots \), no. of generators.

The \( k \)’s and \( l \)’s are the changes in \( k \) and \( l \) respectively, obtained using derivatives evaluated at predetermined points. For this procedure the network equations are to be solved four times.

### 1.6 Transient stability analysis:

- Single machine connected to an infinite bus
- Multi machine system

#### 1.6.1 Multi machine system:

The following steps easily follow for determining multimachine stability.

1. From the prefault load flow data determine \( E_k' \) voltage behind transient reactance for all generators. This establishes generator emf magnitudes \( |E_k|^2 \) which remains constant during the study and initial rotor angle \( \delta_i^o = \angle E_k \). Also record prime mover inputs to generators, \( P_{mk} = P_{\text{mk}}^o \).
2. Augment the load flow network by the generator transient reactances. Shift network buses behind the transient reactances.

3. Find Ybus for various network conditions—during fault, post fault (faulted line cleared), after line reclosure.

4. For faulted mode, find generator outputs from power angle equations and solve swing equations step by step (point by point method) or any integration algorithms such as modified Euler’s method, R.K fourth order method etc.

5. Keep repeating the above step for post fault mode and after line reclosure mode.

6. Examine δ(t) plots for all the generators and establish the answer to the stability question.

II. SIMULATION OF FAULT

A symmetrical fault is simulated in one of the lines at a time. The simulation is done in three phases:

1. The pre-fault system is run for a small time (say 0.1 second).

2. The fault is applied at one end of the line. Simulation of this faulted condition continues till the line is disconnected from the buses at both the ends of the faulted line after a time tcl. The time gap between the tripping of breakers at the two ends is negligible compared to the clearing time. Hence the disconnection of the line at the two ends can be considered simultaneous.

3. Next is the post-fault system simulation where the faulty line is totally disconnected from the system. Simulation is carried out for a longer time (say 10 seconds) to observe the nature of the transients.

Series controller:-
- Static Synchronous Series Comparator
- Inter line Power Flow Controller
- Thyristor Controlled Series Capacitor
- Thyristor Switched Series Capacitor
- Thyristor Controlled Series Reactor
- Thyristor Switch Series Reactor

Thyristor-Controlled Series Capacitor (TCSC):

The basic Thyristor-Controlled Series Capacitor scheme, proposed in 1986 by Vithayathil with others as a method of "rapid adjustment of network impedance," is shown in the fig. [2]. It consists of the series compensating capacitor shunted by a Thyristor-Controlled Reactor. In a practical TCSC implementation, several such basic compensators may be connected in series to obtain the desired voltage rating and operating characteristics.

Fig 2 Equivalent circuit of TCSC
This arrangement is similar in structure to the TSSC and, if the impedance of the reactor, \( X_L \), is sufficiently smaller than that of the capacitor, \( X_c \), it can be operated in an on/off manner like the TSSC. However, the basic idea behind the TCSC scheme is to provide a continuously variable capacitor by means of partially canceling the effective compensating capacitance by the TCR.

The TCR at the fundamental system frequency is a continuously variable reactive impedance, controllable by delay angle \( \alpha \), the steady state impedance of the TCSC is that of a parallel LC circuit, consisting of a fixed capacitive impedance, \( X_c \), and a variable inductive impedance, \( X_L(\alpha) \), that is,

\[
X_{\text{TCSC}}(\alpha) = \left( X_c * X_L(\alpha) / X_L(\alpha) - X_c \right)
\]

where

\[
\alpha = \omega L, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \quad \text{is the delay angle measured from the crest of the capacitor voltage.}
\]

**2. 1 Modeling of the TCSC and the power system:**

The TCSC model is given in Fig. 2. The overall reactance \( X_C \) of the TCSC is given in terms of the firing angle \( \alpha \) as

\[
X_C = \beta_1 (X_{FC} + \beta_2 - \beta_4 \beta_5 - X_{FC})
\]

where

\[
\beta_1 = \frac{2(\pi - \alpha) + \sin 2(\pi - \alpha)}{\pi}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{X_{FC} X_P}{X_{FC} - X_P},
\]

\[
\beta_3 = \sqrt{\frac{X_{FC} X_P}{X_P}}, \quad \beta_4 = \beta_3 \tan[\beta_3(\pi - \alpha)] - \tan(\pi - \alpha),
\]

\[
\beta_5 = \frac{4\beta_3^2 \cos^2(\pi - \alpha)}{\pi X_P}
\]

Let us denote the fundamental frequency capacitance of the TCSC, which is equal to \( 1/(\cos X_C) \), as \( C_{\text{csc}} \). It is to be noted that in this work the TCSC is operated only in the capacitive mode. The capacitive reactance \( X_{FC} \) of the TCSC is chosen as half of the reactance of the line in which the TCSC is placed and the TCR reactance \( X_P \) is chosen to be \( 1/3 \) of \( X_{FC} \).

**III. FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER**

**3.1 INTRODUCTION:**

Most of the real-world processes that require automatic control are non-linear in nature. That is, their parameter values alter as the operating point changes over time or both. In case of conventional control schemes, as they are linear, a controller can only be tuned to give good performance at a particular operating point or for a limited period of time. The controller needs to be retuned if the operating point changes with time. This necessity to retune has driven the need for adaptive controllers that can automatically retune themselves to match the current process characteristics.

Fuzzy logic is an innovative technology that enhances conventional system design with engineering expertise. Using fuzzy logic, we can circumvent the need for rigorous mathematical modeling during the past several years, FLC has emerged as one of the most active area of research for the application of fuzzy set theory. A fuzzy set is a generalization of the concept of an ordinary set in which the membership function (MF) values can be only one of the two values, 0 and 1. A fuzzy set can be defined as below.

Fuzzy set \( A \) in a universe of discourse \( U \) is characterized by a MF \( \mu_A: U \rightarrow [0] \) and associates with each element \( x \) of \( U \) a number \( \mu_A(x) \) in the interval \([0,1] \) representing the degree of membership of \( x \) in \( A \).

**3.2 Definition of Fuzzy Sets:**

Let \( X \) is a collection of objects, and then a fuzzy set is defined to be a set of ordered pairs, \( A = \{(x, \mu_A(x)), x \in X\} \), where \( \mu_A(x) \) is called the membership function \( x \) in \( A \). The numerical interval \( X \) that is relevant for the description of a fuzzy variable is commonly named as universe of discourse. The membership function \( \mu_A(x) \) denotes the degree to which
x belongs to A and is normally limited to values between 0 and 1. A value of $\mu_A(x)$ close to one means it is very likely for x to be in A and a value of $\mu_A(x)$ near to zero denotes non-membership. In case that the values of membership function are limited to zero or one, then A becomes a crisp or non-fuzzy set.

3.3 Fuzzy Set Operations:

It is well known that the membership functions play an important role in fuzzy sets. Therefore it is not surprising to define fuzzy set operators based on their corresponding membership functions. Operations like AND, OR and NOT are some the most important operations of the fuzzy sets.

Suppose A and B are two fuzzy sets with membership functions $\mu_A(x)$ and $\mu_B(x)$ respectively then.

3.3 The AND operator or the intersection of two fuzzy sets is the membership functions of the intersection of these two fuzzy sets.

$C = (A \cap B)$, is defined by $\mu_C(x) = \min \{ \mu_A(x), \mu_B(x) \}, x \in X$

3.4 The OR operator or the union of two fuzzy sets is the membership function of the union of these two fuzzy sets.

$D (A \cup B)$, is defined by $\mu_C(x) = \max \{ \mu_A(x), \mu_B(x) \}, x \in X$

The NOT operator or the complement of a fuzzy set is the membership function of the complement of A is $A^c$ is defined by

$\mu_A^c(x) = \{ 1 - \mu_A(x) \}, x \in X$

3.5 Fuzzy Controller Model:

The basic configuration of Fuzzy logic control based as shown in Fig. consists of four main parts i.e.

(i) Fuzzification, (ii) knowledge base, (iii) Inference Engine and (iv) Defuzzification.

---

**Fig. 3 Structure of Fuzzy Logic controller**
3.4.1 Fuzzification:
1. Performs a scale mapping that transfers the range of values of input variables into corresponding universe of discourse.
2. Performs the function of Fuzzification that converts input data into suitable linguistic variables, which may be viewed as labels of fuzzy sets.

3.4.2 Knowledge Base (KB):
Knowledge base comprises of the definitions of fuzzy MFs for the input and output variables and the necessary control rules, which specify the control action by using linguistic terms.

3.4.3 Inference Mechanism:
The Decision – Making Logic Which plays an essential role and contains a set of fuzzy if-then rules such as IF x is A and y is B then z is C
Where x, y and z are linguistic variables representing two input variables and one control output: A, B and C are linguistic values.
It is kernel of an FLC, it has the capability of simulating human decision making based on fuzzy control actions employing fuzzy implication and the rules of inference in fuzzy logic.

3.4.4 Defuzzification:
Defuzzification coverts the linguistic variables to determine numerical values. Centroid method of defuzzification is used in this study.

(1) A scale mapping, which converts the range of values of input variables into corresponding universe of discourse?
(2) Defuzzification, which yields a non-fuzzy control action from an inferred fuzzy control action.

We defuzzify the output distribution B to produce a single numerical output, a single value in the output universe of discourse Y = {y_1, y_2,…y_p}. The information in the output waveform B resides largely in the relative values of membership degrees. The simplest defuzzification scheme chooses that, element Y_max. That has maximal membership put in the output fuzzy set B. M_B (y_max) = max m_B (y_j); 1 ≤ j ≤ k. The maximum membership defuzzification scheme has two fundamental problems. First, the mode of the B distribution is not unique. In practice B is often highly asymmetric; even if it is unimodal infinitely many output distributions can share the same mode. The maximum membership scheme ignores the information in much of the waveform B. The natural alternative is the fuzzy centroid defuzzification scheme. The regions in which the control actions are overlapped depending upon their membership function. The graphical representation of centroid is shown in Fig. 3.1 below.

\[ B = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p} Y_j m_B (y_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^{p} m_B (y_j)} \]

Where m_B(y_j) = membership function of the j^{th} strip. y_j = Corresponding Crisp value of j^{th} strip. p = number of strips.

Fig. 3.1 A graphical representation of Centroid
This value is actually the deterministic input required to regulate the process. The entire universe of discourse is then divided into seven triangles, equal in area, each representing the region of the linguistic variables as in fuzzification. The fuzzy centroid is unique and uses all the information in the output distribution B. Computing the centroid is only step in the defuzzification process, which requires simple division.

3.5 Fuzzy controller:

Fuzzy inputs:
Input 1 : ERR(t)=(Pref(i)-Pflow(i)) Inpur 2 : CHERR(t)=ERR(t)-ERR(t-dt) Fuzzy outputs:
Output: Xtcsc(t) (compensation to be provided 30-70%)

Rule base for fuzzy controller

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ERR</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>ZE</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. ALGORITHMS

In the performance of a transient stability study, the following data are needed:
1) All system data are converted to a common base; a system base of 100MVA is frequently used. Form Ybus and run load flow
   a. The mechanical power input is taken as \( P_m = P_{inj} \) of the generators.
   b. The loads are converted to equivalent impedances or admittances. The needed data for this step are obtained from the load flow study. Thus if a certain load bus has a voltage \( V_L \), power \( P_L \), reactive power \( Q_L \), and current \( I_L \) flowing into a load admittance \( Y_L = G_L + jB_L \), then \( P_L + jQ_L = V_L I_L^* = V_L (V_L^*(G_L - jB_L)) \) = \( V_L^2(G_L - jB_L) \)
   c. The internal voltages of the generators \( E_i \) are calculated from the load flow data. These internal angles may be computed from the pretransient terminal voltages \( V \) as follows. Let the terminal voltage be used temporarily as a reference. If we define \( I = I_1 + jI_2 \), then from the relation \( P + jQ = VI^* \) we have \( I_1 + jI_2 = (P - jQ)V \). But since \( E = V + jX_d' \),

   \[ E = V + jX_d' \]

   We compute \( E \) by \( E = (V + QX_d'/V) + j(PX_d'/V) \)
   d. The initial generator angle \( \delta_0 \) is then obtained by adding the pretransient voltage angle \( \alpha \) to \( \delta' \), or \( \delta_0 = \delta' + \alpha \)

2) The Ytrbus matrix for each network condition is calculated. The following steps are usually needed:
   a. The equivalent load impedances (or admittances) are connected between the load buses and the reference node.
additional nodes are provided for the internal generator voltages (nodes 1,2,……, n) and the appropriate values of \( X_d' \) are connected between these nodes and the generator terminal nodes. Also, simulation of the fault impedance is added as required, and the admittance matrix is determined for each switching condition.

b. All impedance elements are converted to admittances.

c. Elements of the Y matrix are identified as follows: \( Y_{ii} \) is the sum of all the admittances connected to node I, and \( Y_{ij} \) is the negative of the admittance between node j and i.

d. The Y matrix for the reduced network. The reduction can be achieved by matrix operation if we recall that all the nodes have zero injection currents except for the internal generator nodes. This property is used to obtain the network reduction as shown below.

For each load bus \( \text{ytrbus} \) \((i,i)=\text{ybus}(i,i)+\text{complex}(P(i),-Q(i))/\text{conj}(v(i))*v(i))\) For each generator bus \( \text{ytrbus} \) \((i,i)=\text{ybus}(i,i)+1/\text{complex}(ra(i),xdp(i));\)

3). System data follows:

a. The inertia constant \( H \) and direct axis transient reactance \( X_d' \) for all generators.

b. Transmission network impedances for the initial network conditions and the subsequent switching such as fault clearing and breaker reclosing’s.

c. The type and location of disturbance, time of switching and the maximum time for which a solution is to be considered.

4) Find \( Y_{trbus} \) for various network conditions – during fault, post fault (faulted line cleared), after line reclosure.

5) For faulted mode, find generator outputs from power angle equations and solve swing equations by R.K fourth order method etc.

Volages at each bus is obtained by \( v=\text{inv}(\text{ytrbus})*\text{Inor} \)

6) Keep repeating the above step for post fault mode and after line reclosure mode.

7) Examine \( \delta (t) \) plots for all the generators and establish the answer to the stability question.

Case i

Fixed compensation

Compensation of 50% is provided in the line where Tcsc is placed by reducing the line reactance and change the ybus in step1.

Case ii

Variable compensation (PI)

a. Initial compensation of (30-50%) is provided in the line where Tcsc is to be placed by reducing the line reactance and change ybus in step 1.

b. For fault mode (step 5) \( \text{ytrbus(fb,fb)}=\text{ytrbus(fb,fb)}+\text{complex}(0.0,-999999999) \), where fb is fault bus. for each time step solve swing equations using R.K, fourth order and calculate deltas of generators pid controller error(1)=(Pf(ltc)-Pref(ltc)), where ltc=line having tsc calculate Xtcsc, the line impedance becomes \( zl(ltc)=\text{complex}(r(ltc),-Xtcsc) \), change elements in ytransbus

c. For post fault mode (step 6) ytransbus is as in prefault mode.

Case iii

4.2 Fuzzy controller:

a. Initial compensation of (30-50%) is provided in the line where Tcsc is to be placed by reducing the line reactance and change ybus in step 1.

b. For fault mode (step 5) \( \text{ytrbus(fb,fb)}=\text{ytrbus(fb,fb)}+\text{complex}(0.0,-999999999) \), where fb is fault bus.
for each time step Solve swing equations using R.K. fourth order and calculate deltas of generators for fuzzy controller take error(1)=(Pf(ltc)-Pref(ltc)), where ltc=line having tcsdelt err=error(1)-error(0) as inputs and output of Xtcsc gives the compensation to be provided. The line impedance becomes. zl(ltc)=complex(r(ltc),x(ltc)-Xtcsc), change elements in ytransbus

c. For fault mode  (step 5)
ytrbus(fb,fb)=ytrbus(fb,fb)+complex(0.0,-999999999), where fb is fault bus

V. RESULTS

Static transient stability results for WSCC 9 bus system:

Case (1) No Damping in the system (Self clearing type), Fault at Bus 5

Here Fault is at Bus 5 and Fault is self-cleared and fault clearance time is 0.2 sec and here no damping in the system, such that oscillations continues.

Case (2) With Damping in the system (Self Clearing type) Fault at Bus 5

By observing the above two cases, we can say that by providing damping to the system the oscillations will die out and they will settle to a final steady state value with in a very short time duration.

Case (1) No Damping in the system (Self clearing type), Fault at Bus 6

Here Fault is at Bus 6 and Fault is self-cleared and fault clearance time is 0.2 sec and here no damping in the system, such that oscillations continues. And by including the Damping to the system the oscillations die out and it will settle at a point.
Case (2) With Damping in the system (Self Clearing type), fault at bus 6
By observing the above two cases, we can say that by providing damping to the system the oscillations will die out and they will settle to a final steady state value with in a very short time duration.

Case (1) No Damping in the system (Self clearing type), Fault at Bus 8

By observing the above two cases, we can say that by providing damping to the system the oscillations will die out and they will settle to a final steady state value with in a very short time duration.

With Compensation Case (1) Fault is at Bus 5

a) Fault is of self-clearing type and it is at bus 5 and fault cleared time is 0.2sec and with fixed compensation 50.% compensation and peak value of first swing is 61.3.
VI. CONCLUSION

Transient stability is the ability of the power system to maintain synchronism after subjected to severe disturbance. The synchronism is assessed with relative rotor angle violations among the different machines. Accurate analysis of the transient stability requires the detailed modeling of generating units and other equipment. At present, the most practical available method of transient stability analysis is time-domain simulation in which the nonlinear differential equations are solved by R.K. fourth order method or network reduction techniques.

In the present work, the transient stability assessment of WSCC-9 bus system is carried out for three phase fault of self-clearing type at different fault locations. When effect of damping of the system is incorporated the analysis shows better results.

In the steady state, FACTS controllers like TCSC help in controlling the power flow through a line. Since power systems are non-linear, conventional controllers PI cannot perform well in maintaining power system stability. When firing angle of TCSC is controlled using conventional PI controller reduction in first swing peak value is observed when compared to...
fixed compensation.
Further, a fuzzy controlled TCSC has been implemented on WSCC-9 bus system to improve stability of system. The fuzzy controlled TCSC is observed to perform better compared to conventional PI controller.

VII. APPENDIX

Test system: WSCC 9-bus system (Western System Coordinating Council), Anderson Text

---

Generator data:
(i) Generators data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generator</th>
<th>Xd</th>
<th>H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0608</td>
<td>23.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1198</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1813</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ii) Transformers data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transformer</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0586</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(iii) Transmission network data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bus No.</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Vq/2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0576</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.0586</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.0745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.1008</td>
<td>0.1045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(iv) Bus Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bus No.</th>
<th>P_Gen</th>
<th>P_D</th>
<th>Q_D</th>
<th>V_q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS:  
Gauss Seidal Load flow results  
Problem converged in 13 iterations  

\[
\begin{align*}
V[1] &= 1.040000, \ del=0.000000 \\
V[3] &= 1.024997, \ del=4.671034 \\
V[4] &= 1.025951, \ del=-2.232594 \\
V[5] &= 0.995487, \ del=-3.968469 \\
V[7] &= 1.025587, \ del=3.723477 \\
V[8] &= 1.015935, \ del=0.731538 \\
V[9] &= 1.032350, \ del=1.965797 \\
\end{align*}
\]

BUS POWERS:  
\[
\begin{align*}
Pc[1] &= (0.721632), \ Qc[1] = (0.267732) \\
Pc[2] &= (1.631486), \ Qc[2] = (0.069405) \\
Pc[3] &= (0.852264), \ Qc[3] = (-0.108488) \\
Pc[4] &= (-0.013654), \ Qc[4] = (-0.558568) \\
Pc[5] &= (-1.240961), \ Qc[5] = (-0.892400) \\
Pc[6] &= (-0.899253), \ Qc[6] = (-1.288941) \\
Pc[7] &= (-0.004140), \ Qc[7] = (-1.272831) \\
Pc[8] &= (-0.998536), \ Qc[8] = (-0.776752) \\
Pc[9] &= (-0.002564), \ Qc[9] = (-0.590757) \\
\end{align*}
\]
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